

this is...and let me say, finally, I should have said it at the beginning, I am not asking for the entire 200,000 back, I am only asking for 100,000 back. The motion to override is only to override the \$100,000 that is appropriate for the year...appropriated for the year '93-9...I am sorry, '94-95, for this upcoming year. They've already missed, the grant program can't work for, probably can't work in the short-term year for this particular fiscal year, but we could restore the 100,000 for one year, and restoring 100,000 for one year isn't going to break us. It isn't going to make any significant difference in the overall budget, and won't make any significant difference in what we may have to face next year, or the year after. So I hope you can look at this as a unique situation and deal with it as a unique situation, as I think it would only be fair to do, and to restore the 100,000. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. On the Beutler motion, Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to oppose Senator Beutler's amendment, though I want to explain a few things because Senator Beutler is not inaccurate in what he's saying, this particular issue's past is somewhat murky. It's things, 714A was the bill passed in '91, I believe, which contained an appropriation of \$100,000 for trails. Now the bill as it's originally written was \$100,000 a year for ten years. Senator Lamb amended that language out of the bill, and the bill kind of really became silent on whether or not it continued or not. So I meant it was, this Legislature was never clear on whether or not it should continue, but I think the understanding Senator Beutler had, but I don't remember, I don't remember anything about, but Senator Beutler I know is, and I am not...is probably accurate he felt that way, is that that was going to be an ongoing appropriation. So that was in '91, and it was two years, there was \$100,000...two separate years I believe, there was \$100,000 a year appropriated for trails. I certainly support trails and, obviously, up until this time supporting this measure, but last year when the Game and Parks Commission created their biennial budget, for whatever reason, and you've seen the letter from Director Amack, they did not request the money a year ago in the biennial budget, nor did they actually originally request the money this year as a deficit appropriation. As to why or why not, like I said, I am not