

to municipalities for a good and useful local purposes, if you remember the MIRF bill of several years ago. This agreement compromised whatever, quite frankly, I think is disagreeable in some portion or the other to everybody, Senator Hillman's agricultural community, Senator Wickersham's. Certainly the agricultural community out there, those in production agriculture growing sugar beets, dried beans, those sorts of crops are going to be paying a fertilizer fee into the future that they thought someone had promised them was going to be repealed and is going to go into a program that really won't provide any benefit to them. So you can take this bill apart piece by piece and find individually something wrong with it. Certainly we have in this bill the funding for the juvenile detention facility which is something that our juvenile crime bill is going to require us to commit to and we have committed to it. We have done some additional funding to the building and maintenance portion that will grow into the future because of this particular bill. So I think on balance, for each of us when we look at the bill, on balance it is a good compromise but it really blazes no new ground, corrects some errors from the perception of each of us as individuals and will, in fact, be fairly well received I think from those who must comply with its...whatever it contains. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature, I do not rise in sour grapes, I rise in explanation of my vote as I know that this is one vote that will be talked about for some period of time, certainly through the summer and into the election period and perhaps beyond. I have problems with this bill. I will not vote for this bill for several reasons. I want to just list some of those primarily for the record and I don't know that anybody's mind will ever change and that's not the purpose for this speech. But certainly I think that this process of putting this bill together is one that should not be condoned. This bill clearly has multiple subjects in it. This, in my idea if challenged, would certainly cause a problem to do so. Perhaps it will never be challenged and if not, it just becomes another one that we need to be very careful when you, at the end of one session if you put all the problems of one session into one bill, some day this body will be caught in that trap and we'll be angry at the court and we have nobody to be angry at but ourselves. That won't probably change your