

be read at large. That is the only significance of this word "presented". Senator Wesely is nodding yes, but I want that in the record because I could see where somebody would ask the question because I wasn't certain. Senator Wesely, is that what you were getting across to us?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, Senator Chambers, your description is exactly the way I would see it being done.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all that I have. May I add that I support this amendment that Senator Kristensen and Senator Wesely are offering, because I think it does flow a lot smoother, is much easier to understand. But even with it I will not support the constitutional proposal. But this is a much better form of that proposal than what would be before us now, due to our having stricken the language about the summary.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Kristensen, if I may, a couple of questions. First of all, since I understand this to be your amendment, do you agree with the...Senator Wesely's presentation and Senator...do you agree with the discussion that took place with regard to the question of what "presented" means?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, I do. I might take just a little issue with Senator Chambers' constitutional interpretation. If the court decides that the word "presented" is ambiguous, then they will come and look at us, otherwise they're not going to look at our intent. Presented is in the form of giving it to us, so you don't just print it, lay it on the shelf in the printshop. Whether you present it to us...and in today's technology and terms being printed, placed on our desk is a good thing. In twenty-five years when you all have computers on the desk and you present it, it gets printed, and instead of being, you know, in a paper form, it may well be an electronic form. It gives that sort of flexibility. But given today's technology it means giving it to us so we have it available to us. So, in those terms, yes, I do agree.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Second question, again just for clarification.