

better than doing nothing at all? And I would suggest to you that most times it's much better. But I also want to suggest that if a summary is made at Final Reading it also serves a wonderful dual purpose, because I've often thought that one of the continuing shortcomings of our process here is that when you and I go home after the session, I don't know about you, but you know, with four or five hundred bills I'm soon forgetting what was in this bill or that bill. And some of the committees now, but somewhat haphazardly and without any overall direction, are doing summaries of some of the major bills so that members of the Legislature can, if they're going to give a speech some place, can quickly grab the summary and recall what it was that was done in a particular bill. But we have not systematically done that. And I suggest to you that it would be enormously valuable to systematically do that, to have in your file, after the session is over, a quick summary of what every...what you did in every bill. And if you have the summary done for Final Reading, you would accomplish that dual purpose. So, it seems to me that the argument for a summary is the better argument. And my final argument would be simply this political argument, I have watched this amendment fail four different times in my legislative career, and Senator Warner has seen it fail more than that. You've got to have something in there to convince the people that Final Reading is being done in a reasonable way, if it's not going to be a complete Final Reading. And I think that the summary idea is a vital, perhaps even just absolutely essential selling point on this particular constitutional amendment, because I don't see that there has been any major change in people's perceptions or in their general knowledge of the process, although maybe Cablevision has made a difference to some small extent, I don't know, making more of a difference now that it's going outstate. But this kind of proposition has historically been overwhelmingly rejected by people. And if you have nothing more than a three-fifth vote of the Legislature in there, I would be willing to bet a dollar to a nickel that it's...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...overwhelmingly rejected again. So, think about those things. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Call the question.