

EASE

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, that's the correct one.

CLERK: AM4579, Madam President, found on page 2010 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature. And let me begin an explanation of this debate with the following, this is on Select File. As you know, our rules ask for us to have a day of lay over, that rule does not need to be addressed yet today. My hope would be that if the body sees fit to adopt the amendment that I propose, and that the bill is in a form that we're comfortable with, that tomorrow you would then be faced with the policy issue of suspending the rules so you don't have to treat it like a bill. That's a separate issue that I envision would take place tomorrow. However, this debate, what I wanted to do was make sure that all the other substantive legislation today was done...did not want this to be placed and have this debate ahead of other people's debate. There's really no other debate on other bills, except for veto overrides, and I think those are probably planned for tomorrow. And wanted to make sure that we didn't inhibit anybody else's debate, if they had a bill that this year could be amended and placed up. This was a Speaker's priority bill. The following amendment that I would ask for you to consider does this in the green copy of the bill, this deals with Final Reading. In the perfect world I would like to do away entirely with Final Reading. However, I realize that is not possible to do. There are those who want to have the philosophy that Final Reading should occur with absolutely everything. In between in there somewhere probably is an answer for the flexibility of the body to use. The presumption that I placed in here, in the green copy, was that bills would not be read unless one-fourth of the members wanted to do so. The presumption being that you don't read unless a small minority want to read. Through the stages of debate I've been beat into submission, to coin the phrase of Senator Chambers, I switched that presumption to the other side, that the bills will be read unless three-fifths, or 30 votes vote on an individual not to read it. Now, that's a lot tougher standard, it also, the way I read the constitutional amendment, that I would put in here that you couldn't do that in