

have a fund raiser two weeks after we adjourn this year, you almost have to send out that letter now in order for people to know about it. That solicit in there, I agree you shouldn't accept, but that "solicit or", if we could...if we could just strike that out of there, I think it would be acceptable to...for this amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, if that's the will of the body, that's acceptable to me.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: All right, is it okay?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Why don't you...why don't you run it and see what people think.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: All right, I will.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. There are no further lights on the...Senator Bromm, on the Beutler amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR BROMM: I think Senator Schellpeper is drawing a short amendment there. And if I could ask Senator Beutler a couple questions, I would appreciate it.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beutler, would you respond? Thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Beutler, I think some of the same things are going through my mind as you think about those words as maybe what Senator Schellpeper is thinking. And I guess one thing that comes to mind, there are such things as kind of public fund raisers that, for example, I know I had a golf tournament and it was kind of...the public was invited to come, if they wanted to join in the tournament. And I suppose, you know, that was kind of a general solicitation. And I suppose the public that would have seen that would have included lobbyists, and PAC groups and so forth. And even though I wouldn't have to send that to the lobbyists, they would naturally maybe see it. So I think the clarification maybe is a