

April 13, 1994 LB 677A

several additions of these amendments is because of the period of time that we've been working on this bill. What the A bill does is reflect what 677 does in terms of returning the essential employees to the Board of Parole. The only num...and money that would have gone to the Department of Corrections, by the way, has simply been moved over to the Board of Parole. The new money is caused by Senator Pirsch, our fiscal conservative, it's the \$40,000 for the risk assessment instrument, and I say at this point what I said in the beginning, it's something that I agree with, and I don't know what else to tell you. The new money deals with the risk assessment and it is \$40,000, and I support that, and I hope that you will return 677A so that we can amend it with this final version of the A bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, I just...thank you, Madam President, members of the body. Since it was pointed out that this will cost \$40,000, I want to tell you that statistics have proved that this will be a cost-saving measure, and indeed by risk assessment those people who should be out and are not a risk to the public are released, and we save prison costs. So this money will be money well spent.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Any further discussion on the motion to return LB 677AE to Select File? Seeing none, all those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President (sic), on the motion to return the bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 677A returns to Select File. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam, Madam President, that second one was for the Clerk. I know that happens to all of us, and I'm glad to see it happens to the Clerk, too. This is Madam President, Mr. Clerk. I said Mr. one time, and I was so mortified. But at any rate, the A bill having been explained, the amendment, I hope you will add it to the bill. And if you have any questions, I will answer them.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Any discussion, further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the Chambers amendment to LB 677A