

individual, or would you like that decision made by that individual? But if, at the public hearing, there comes more evidence that that decision may be wrong, should the commission have at least the chance to overturn that, if a wrong decision was made? I believe they should have that check by a simple majority. And again, it would solve the problem that we have this year where all of the members voted to overrule one of the applications but one. And there are going to be times where the director makes a mistake, not often, but every once in awhile that will happen, and it would be nice to have a check, particularly when we're looking at a lot...a large sum of money being put out there. That's what this amendment would do, I urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. The question before the body is the adoption of the Bernard-Stevens amendment to LB 1034. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: 20 ayes, 12 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Bernard-Stevens amendment fails.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill at this time, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you. Any further discussion of LB 1034? Senator McKenzie. Excuse me, Senator McKenzie.

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Madam President, I would move LB 1034 be advanced to E & R for engrossment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator McKenzie. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I'd yield thirty seconds of my closing to Senator Bernard-Stevens.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I want to verify, this is Senator Robinson's closing?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: There are no other speakers, so, Senator