

right, they probably, if all things were equal and we had all the money in the world and we could afford to do these things, it would make good sense. And, again, I don't hold any grudge towards Senator Landis because I just think what he is saying is, me too. He is saying, look, this is something that we're going to do, if it's good enough for Omaha, and if they feel that the renovation of the auditorium is in their best interest, here's where the City of Lincoln feels that their priorities lie. Frankly, I would have to argue that I think the Lincoln priorities are probably better, dollars better spent on streets as opposed to camel toes. But, at this point, I don't think I can support either one of the proposals. And Senator Chambers' amendment to stretch this to ten years is a bit much, although I'm guessing that nine and eight and seven and six and five and four and three and two are probably right behind, but he shakes his head and says, no, they're not. The question is, do you do this for a time certain, I believe, which is probably a good proposal as opposed to an unlimited or at least an uncertain period of time which is in Senator Landis's current amendment. Can't fault Senator Landis one wit for saying, look, this is something that we need as well...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...in the capitol city, and I guess I have to think a little while longer as to whether or not the streets deserve the same kind of precedent or the same kind of approval as the elephants and the camels do at the civic auditorium, but I'll think about that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I don't intend to work backward from ten years to one year. As Senator Hall mentioned, the more baggage this bills carries, the better. So if Senator Landis's amendment ultimately is added, all to the good, it makes no difference. And maybe we'll get to a vote to suspend the rules and they won't allow any additional amending or discussion. And that's all right too, Because we still have some other bills. And as long as 1087 is alive and breathing, those other bills are in jeopardy. What I would consider doing...and I'm trying to figure how to word that, is to say that if this amendment is adopted and if this sales tax money is allowed for this purpose, it will not be possible, it will not be allowable to fix some of