

Ashford, Bohlke and Bromm. Senator Day, Senator Engel. Senator Kristensen, Senator Lindsay, Senator Matzke, Senator McKenzie, Senator Schellpeper, Senator Wehrbein, Senator Wickersham, Senator Will, and Senator Witek. Senator Bohlke and Senator Kristensen. Senator Witek. We're waiting on Senator Schellpeper and Senator Witek. Senator Schellpeper and Senator Witek. Senators, will you please return to your seats. Senator Beutler, Senator Schellpeper is on his way, would you like to proceed? Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 1881 of the Legislative Journal.) 17 ayes, 8 nays, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Beutler amendment fails. I raise the call. Mr. Clerk, anything further on the bill?

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment is by Senator Chambers. (Chambers amendment, FA555, appears on page 1882 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers, to open on his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, Madam President, I bid you a fond ado. Mr. Speaker, I have to make do with you, and it's much ado about a little, but we'll do that the best that we can. (Laughter.) Members of the Legislature, this is another of those innocuous amendments that I'm offering. There is a provision in the bill where an attempt is made to deal with the resources that a person might have, personal, financial and otherwise, and they list out a number of things with which I don't disagree. But they also mention friends and neighbors. And I don't want anybody to get the impression that these social workers are supposed to go around the neighborhood interrogating people about this individual, nor that the recipient gets the impression that these people in the neighborhood are going to be told his or her affairs. Right now I get complaints because there are actually social workers who initiate calls to neighbors to ask questions about an individual, who goes to that person's house, how long do they stay, where does this person go, when do they leave, and so forth. All this would do is strike the words that might be an invitation, in some people's minds, to "busybodism." I talked to Senator Rasmussen, Senator Wesely, the words don't need to be there. Since they can cause mischief by being there and no harm will be done with their being stricken, the amendment is not