

that it's a part of society seeking peculiar societal assistance. That's going to be the same case with the ethanol bill, and I will keep that in mind. I mark well what Senator Beutler says. And if the definition of regularly that he read in the education section is one that has a specific meaning and in his opinion has been defined by the court, they could refer to that section to say that the term regularly shall mean the same as it does in whatever section he read. Another thing that needs to be pointed out, and Senator Beutler is well aware of this, the educational provisions of the statute are administered by educational professionals. By that I mean they make judgments, they make decisions, they determine various things of the kind that we're talking about here. There is nothing in the education statutes that would empower people in that branch or area to make decisions and judgments pertaining to that which is within the province of the Department of Social Services. It's only when we deal with the poor that we create these hybrid rules, these hybrid principles and depart from everything else. The irony is when Senator Beutler will read a section or provisions of statute that deal with one area, namely education, as a justification for doing what's done here, when what he read goes the opposite direction. Those things pertaining to education should be left to the educators. That terminates my close.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Pirsch, could you check in. Senator Lindsay. I apologize, Senator Lindsay, Senator Pirsch. We'll proceed to a machine vote. All those in favor of the Chambers amendment vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 13 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment to the Schimek amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The Chambers amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, anything further on the Schimek amendment?

CLERK: I believe I have nothing further pending to Senator Schimek's amendment at this time.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Just briefly again, although I supported the Chambers amendment...