

developed to establish communication between the parents, the school and the caseworker. If that is not done, if that is not carried out, what happens?

SENATOR BOHLKE: I would suppose this would then be considered not fulfilling part of the obligation of the contract.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But what happens...there is nothing to mandate that this be done, why doesn't, instead of the Legislature saying it is the intent of the Legislature, say the Legislature directs that a process be developed? Why make it intent language instead of a directive?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Senator Chambers, you know better than I, but intent language, I think, is to give direction to the overall philosophy of the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we're not talking about intent in the language above when we're punishing poor people. We're not directing these big shots to do this. And there's no punishment for them if they don't. We don't reduce their salary. We don't place their job in jeopardy. They're not reprimanded. Why even have that in if it does...if it's not enforceable? There's no reason for it.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Well, it doesn't have anything to do with compliance and the only reason it's in there is to clearly show and state what the intent of the Legislature is in implementing it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if this is stricken, it won't hurt what this particular section is trying to do, will it?

SENATOR BOHLKE: The only people that it may harm, I would think, would be the potential clients of the system.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, see, that again is substituting judgment. You all feel you can do that, I feel I can do it. We have a different point of view as to what's in the best interest of poor people, based on our orientation, so we disagree. But what I'm asking is what does this language add to the bill since it doesn't have to be carried out?

SENATOR BOHLKE: It adds nothing as far as if you strike this, it's not going to change the bill, it just is in the reading of