

April 7, 1994

LB 1066

intervening force to bring that chain of events to a halt, then I have to be held accountable for the end result and it can be said that I intended that result because that is the only thing that can come from what I set in motion. So if I set the chain of events in motion and I step aside and Senator Landis stands where I am, the responsibility is not his, it's still mine, and I'm trying to shirk it if I move aside and try to get somebody else to take the rap. The MacBride Principles were discussed by us, when they were legitimate before us, in depth and we stayed on that subject. Senator Crosby expressed her opposition and told why. I gave the reasons I supported it and so did others, talking about the amendment which contained the MacBride Principles and we voted to add them to the bill. Nothing has changed. We should vote against stripping this amendment from the bill. If something earthshaking about which we did not know was brought to our attention, that could be a justification perhaps for reversing ourselves. But when a person is going to make a 180 degree turn just because there has been some contention on the floor of the Legislature, I think that would be a mistake. I still support the principles. What this might be a lesson that all the members of the Legislature should pay attention to is don't persuade me to go along with something that you believe in if a point might come when you think or feel that there is too much controversy about it and we need to back away from it. Once I become convinced that this is right, it is now my conviction and not my...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...supporting something you believe in because I believe in you and go along with it. The conviction is mine. The belief is mine and I'm standing by it, and I hope those who voted for the amendment, in the first instance, will stand by it. In the Journal, there will not be pages between that first vote which is a matter of record and the vote which will be taken now, and there will be no explanatory material between those votes to tell why people who said very strongly, yea, this minute and then the next minute nay. I hope you will stand firm.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Withem, your light is next.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Excuse me, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Yeah, I was going to call the question, but I think there is now a