

April 7, 1994

LB 1066

information that the committee hasn't already dealt with, nor do I believe that the...the vote on the advancement, should it be referred back, would be any different. I do think that the proposal in the form of the MacBride Principles that were adopted in 1066 should stay in the bill. If the bill fails to...to move, it fails to move, Senator. It is Senator Chambers' bill. He has the...the luxury of dealing with it in any manner he chooses. I don't think the motion to refer to committee, though, would provide any different result if it were sent back there. So, I would urge the body not to support that motion to refer back to committee. I...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Madam Chairman, I wonder if Senator Hall would answer a question for me, please.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Uh-huh.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Hall, should we include Israel in this bill?

SENATOR HALL: No.

SENATOR HABERMAN: And why not?

SENATOR HALL: Because we haven't had a hearing on those issues.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Oh, we haven't had a hearing. Oh, okay. I didn't think about that. Thank you, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: You're welcome.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you for calling that to my attention. But, it wouldn't be discrimination, would it?

SENATOR HALL: I'm sorry, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Being as we are not going to include Israel because we didn't have a hearing, we're not talking about discrimination, are we?

SENATOR HALL: No, clearly we are talking about discrimination.