

and not voting.

SPEAKER BAACK: LB 816A with the emergency clause attached passes. We'll go to LB 595 with the emergency clause.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Senator Robinson would move to return the bill to Select File for a specific amendment, that amendment being strike the enacting clause.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, Senator Lindsay, I'm not pointing a finger at your bill. In case you think I'm after you, I am not. I just want an opportunity to make a few comments since this is the first revenue bill we're having, excuse me, first revenue bill that we'll be talking about and then LB 330 follows shortly after. All of you got on your desk and I'm not going to spend a lot of time on this, I've talked about it before, the increase of state employees over a number of years, over 3,000; budget increase over close to 800 million. But I think the very striking piece of information that I have not presented to the body before is that the population increase over 10 years was 8,500 people. I think it's very...I think that's a piece of information we should be thinking about as we approach our votes on the revenue package and LB 330. I think we've done a lot of good things. I think the Health and Human Services Committee has brought a lot of good bills to us on Medicaid. Unfortunately, it isn't going to help us financially too much. I think it will in the next few years. As I look at the national scene, there's no doubt about it. It's not...it's turning back to not tax. They're going to make more cuts if you've been watching it lately, and I think we should be very observant of that. But I think the most striking thing about our budget, as we look at the green sheet, in '92-93 we're going to spend \$1,000,600,000 and in '93-94 we're going to spend \$1,609,000,000. Now there's a couple things we don't know about. We spent more money than it actually shows here. For example, the budget cuts according to the fiscal office, this morning I visited with them, but probably another 20 or \$30 million that was spent because of the budget cuts back in September. In addition, there's another \$33 million that is being spent because of the money that we paid on personal property tax, the refund on personal property tax. It wasn't spent...we spent that...the last payment was '92-93, but we will