

served in the Armed Forces pursuant to Section 81-3024. If a person would be stationed at Offutt, spent 20 years in the military, in the Military Police, become a Highway Patrolman for five years, retire at the age of 50 for five years service, would they be eligible for full benefits?

SENATOR FISHER: No, I think he has to spend...he has to be a part of the program, eligible for the retirement program. So for twenty...for the regular 25 years.

SENATOR WITHEM: Well, what...what is this length of service shall include credit for time served in the Armed Forces? What does that mean?

SENATOR FISHER: If he was in the Patrol and then he went into the service, served time in the service and then went back to the Patrol.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay, that's not preexisting service, that is...I heard some teachers...I know we did something in the area of teachers who had taught, served some time in the military, came back and counted those years, is that the...Senator Horgan is nodding his head yes, that's the same thing, okay. Third thing I would ask because this is a bill dealing with firefighters' retirement and this is firefighters in first class cities, and this is Highway Patrol with state employees, not that I'm...I, obviously, have questions about it, trying to understand it, I would also question whether this is, in fact, germane to this particular bill, so I would ask that question of the Chair.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Withem, will Mister, rather Senator Fisher, would you respond to that argument that the amendment is not germane?

SENATOR FISHER: Repeat, please.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Fisher, would you care to respond to the question of germaneness as it relates to the amendment?

SENATOR FISHER: Yes. I do believe that this issue is germane because it is, it pertains to retirement and it pertains to the protection, law enforcement, and it kind of...well, there's no question in my mind it isn't germane and would tie in with Senator Day's bill.