

go but all the time. So why don't we just forget about it and create all...change all the classes, I, II, III, IV, V and VI, and then have every school district a K-12. If you've got eight kids in your school district, that's fine. You know, build a gymnasium, put together an athletic program, hire the science teachers. I know how you do it, but, obviously, that's silly, isn't it? You can't do that. You just can't do that. This amendment makes sense. It's a compromise. But, to be completely frank, for those of you who are concerned about your neighbors in the small cities and villages ripping you off because they might spend more money, that's fine. Why not just break away. Train your kids all the way from kindergarten through the 12th grade and then go right to college. Why not? If you don't trust each other and you really think that they're going to rip you off, that's what I would do. I would just break away from it altogether. It's kind of silly and I said silly things, but some of the arguments used are, in fact, silly and they're not based and sounded on fact. Now, Senator Broom (sic), I can understand where Senator Bromm, I mean, comes from. You know, comes from a county, however I pronounce his name, that not anymore but used to have 45 school districts in Saunders County, used to, 45. By the way, I own property in one of them. I'm not sure if I'm paying more taxes or less taxes than I should. But, in any case, in any case, think about some of the things I said. And also think about Senator Withem, as Chairman of the Education Committee, being the buffer between silly and unnecessary change in what you're talking about now which is really pretty meaningful, necessary and appropriate. Hope you support the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to register one last protest, I think, to the procedure by which we've come to this resolve. I think this is part of what causes the Class I districts and the rural districts to be somewhat mistrustful of the way we do business. There's no reason that this couldn't have been handled as a straight up, head-on issue without coming down to the second or third day before we're done with the session. We've got some important legislation to turn to and I don't want to belabor this or unnecessarily delay it anymore than we have. If it's not important to get it effected immediately and it can wait till '95-96, and I do appreciate the olive branch that's been submitted by Senator Withem, then it could have been done next year and we wouldn't have had to have