

be able to in the short time we're going to have here. And I just think that from another viewpoint if you look at VI's are several districts put together and I might be in this Class I here and I might want to hire a higher paid teacher. Maybe I don't want to take a teacher right out of college, but I want an experienced teacher and I'm going to pay a higher salary. I might want to have a computer for every child at their desk. Well if I want that, then I'm going to pay for it the way the system is now. If we do this, from what I see at least on the surface, there's going to be no incentive to try to be economical in your district. Those that are lavish in their programs or their spending are going to have the same mill levy as those who are extremely frugal and I have somewhat of a problem with that concept. I would like to say just very briefly that I will resist returning this entire amendment, or the bill for this amendment. I would support...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...returning it for Senator Wickersham's amendment. I'd like to give the rest of my time to Senator Jones.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Thanks, Senator Bromm, and Madam President and members of the body. I'd like to bring up one more question that I don't think has been brought out here. Senator Withem, would you mind...

SENATOR WITHEM: Sure.

SENATOR JONES: Valentine would have a grade school that would be a Class I right in the town and they might be a half a dozen grade schools out in the country that are all Class I's. Is that...that's possible?

SENATOR WITHEM: It's not only possible, that's the way it is now.

SENATOR JONES: Okay, okay. What if the one in town would want to build a whole new school and everything and would that raise the mill levy for every one of them out in that...?

SENATOR WITHEM: It's my understanding and, Marsha, if you can