

SENATOR WITHEM: That is correct.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR WITHEM: Advanced it in a form which Senator Baack did not appreciate with the IBF box check there.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. Well then I do have a question for Senator Baack. I'm sorry, Senator Baack, if you wouldn't mind. We spent time trying to deal with pulling Senator Ashford's gun control bill out of committee. Is there some reason why we didn't approach this in the same manner? If you wanted the entire Legislature to deal with this, we're on Final Reading. This was not proposed earlier as an amendment. It was not proposed to be pulled out of committee by this body. Is there some reason why we didn't approach it in the same way we did Senator Ashford's legislation?

SENATOR BAACK: Senator Bromm, I think it's just a matter of strategy and which process you use.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR BAACK: That's all...Senator Withem does have an answer to that I think. I think if you note that this isn't my amendment. This is Senator Withem's amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: No, I...but 71 was your bill.

SENATOR BAACK: Absolutely it was, yes.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. Thank you, Senator Baack. I guess I have some concerns about...this is a fairly significant measure I think and I do have reservations about doing this on Final Reading as an amendment. It certainly is deserving of all the discussion that you would give a bill like this. It probably deserves to be debated three times because it does have some effects out there and I also did some checking on the VI's in my district of which I have at least three and I can't find out for sure what it's going to do to them. I have a general sense that state aid is going to flow out of our area and I don't know where it's going to flow to, but I know it's probably not going to stay in my area and I have some concerns about that. I would like to look at it a whole lot more carefully than I think I'll