

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Abboud, other amendments.

SENATOR ABOUD: I would like to withdraw all the amendments that I have on the...

CLERK: This remaining portion.

SENATOR ABOUD: ...Landis amendment, yes.

CLERK: Okay.

SPEAKER BAACK: They are withdrawn.

CLERK: Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Withdraw.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senators Landis and Chambers would move to amend the Landis amendment. (See page 2626 of the Legislative Journal.) I do have a priority motion, however. Senator Wesely would move to adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is withdrawn. We are on the Chambers-Landis amendment. Senator Landis, do you wish to handle that?

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, and I'll yield some of my time to my cointroducer, Senator Chambers, in a moment. The reason I asked Senator Wesely to withdraw, I think this is the last piece of just the IME section of the bill, and that would be an appropriate time to break, I think, till tomorrow if we can adopt the IME section. Senator Chambers and I met earlier today and he was concerned about the situation where an employee and an employer agree to the use of an IME. Understand that the IME's decision on this point, at least the findings of the amount of injury, are binding on both parties. Senator Chambers was concerned that this be done with no coercion, no fraud and a clear understanding by the employee of the situation that they were entering into if they made such an agreement. I agreed and thought that all of the essence...all of the elements of this would eventually be defined into the bill but I have no