

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what I want to get.

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right, because the answer is only in the kind of situation I was describing to Senator Hohenstein. There is...

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...there is a cause of action before the court...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...with respect to what's called reasonable and necessary medical services, and a worker is entitled to reasonable and medical services. What you can have is you can have a disagreement about where the reasonable services come to an end and where they should continue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR LANDIS: What I described to Senator Hohenstein was a situation where there's been an entire body of treatment, a period of time, and then some new situation, some...some inflammation...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and that this...and there's a denial at that point. That was that situation and that's not all of the situations you are asking about. So let me tell you what I think the answer is in as fully as I can give it to you. If an individual goes in, has a bad back, talks to the doc, doc treats and then says, I think you have now improved to the maximum medical improvement you're going to get and you should go back to work, and the guy says, no, I have a lot of pain.

SENATOR HALL: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: I should get more.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Chambers, your light is next. Senator Landis, would you care to continue.