

areas that the better-off people can go to, raise the amount you charge to go to the cabins if you must. But certainly, don't raise the amount that a person has to pay even to walk on land that is supposed to belong to everybody. I don't think the fees should be raised at all. But they're going to be raised because that's the mood of the body, and it has been in a tax raising mood all session. So this amendment, instead of raising the annual fee from \$10 to \$15, it would raise it by \$4, 40 percent. Instead of raising the daily fee from \$2 to \$3, it would stay at \$2. But they get a bonus, if you get a duplicate annual fee, that is half of the new fee. So currently it's \$5, it would rise to \$7 under my amendment. They got a \$2 increase just like that, without even striking anything and adding language, Senator Robak. That's a neat piece of work which only Senator Baack could pull off. But I think what I'm suggesting is reasonable from the standpoint of giving an increase. I think it's unreasonable because the increase is really too much. I wish that Senator Lamb were still here. Senator Lamb understood these things, and for all the criticisms that people made of him because of his, his renowned conservatism, he did have concern when it came to the amount you were going to charge people to go into these parks. So I hope you will support this modest amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Baack on the Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, Mr. Chairman and colleagues. I rise in, in opposition to part of the amendment. I, I could agree with Senator Chambers on the \$14 yearly permit. I don't have any problem with that at all because these permit fees haven't been raised for about ten years, and actually, the daily permit has been raise...hasn't been raised for about 12 years. So I wouldn't mind doing that. But if, if Senator Chambers and I could work something out where we went to \$2.50 on the daily fee...\$2.50 on the daily fee, we could possibly work something out because you need to realize that when we lower that by about 50 cents it has about 100 and, \$115,000 impact on the Game and Parks budget. So it is a substantial amount of money. It may only look like 50 cents, but it, but it amounts to a lot of money in a year's time. So if, Senator Chambers and I will discuss, we'll let other people talk and we'll discuss, and we'll see if we can come to some agreement at, at possibly 2.50 for that. Thank you.