

will use the airboats, what have you, to go along the Platte to, to look at the counts on the wildlife and to do the checks and the studies that they need for that relicensing. I'm just asking you in a question, would this interfere with that? I think the way it's written, written it could if it interferes with that, in that time period.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I'm sure that, Senator Bernard-Stevens, that if, if this was in conjunction with, with any type of preservation of wild, wildlife or, or water fowl, that this would be permissible.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Does it say so in the amendment, or is that just your intent?

SENATOR JANSSEN: That would be my intent (inaudible)...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay. Again, I suggest, I suggest that we might clarify it a little bit, particularly on that to and from access point. I don't know if I read that the way that your intent is for it to be.

SENATOR JANSSEN: In...in answer to your first one, on line 8, let's see...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: But, Senator, the way I read it, on page 2, line...I'm going to go down lines 5 through 8. "This section shall not prevent the owner of land or dwellings or their relatives or invitees from operating any motorboat within the boundaries of the refuge for purposes of access by the most direct route to and from such land or dwellings." You know, I guess I don't quite re...know if that's clear enough.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I would imagine that that would mean the most direct route. That wouldn't be a, mean a five mile journey down or up the river to get from point A to point B. The most direct route would be a straight line.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay. You do not read that, and again, I'm not being, trying to be picky, but you don't read that if I'm five miles downstream and I go a direct line, that that would be permissible under this amendment the way it's written?

SENATOR JANSSEN: It would, if that would be the direct route to