

to tie back to another issue that's before this body on the parental consent...not parental consent but information sent to the parent or having some...some notice given to parents. We have the judicial bypass and I recall that there has been objection to the fact that the schools are giving information to young women who are considering this and considering a judicial bypass. And there's some desire to stop that information from getting to those people. I think if we want to be consistent when that issue comes up on the floor, I hope we discuss this issue in that context. If it's right and reasonable to provide information on one side of this issue, it ought to be right and reasonable to provide it on both sides of the issue so the woman involved can make a choice in whatever direction they want to either way knowing fully the options that they have available. So I just want to maintain that I'm trying to be consistent in thinking that some legislation on this is in order but I think both sides of this issue have to be consistent as we move forward on other things that deal with informed consent, for instance, on judicial bypass. So let me get to some of the issues involved with this. Senator Lindsay talked about the particular subject area in training and Senator Schimek talked about where do they come from. And Senator Lindsay said it's already in the statute. Well, it is and clearly it's been there and evidently been operational, but I just want to caution you that in other areas of health care procedures when we have training we almost have to cross every "t", dot every "i", and have specific hours down there, and those in the Health Committee know that. We've got to say it takes 20 hours to accomplish this and then you're qualified, or 21 hours, or 25 hours, or 105 hours. This is, to me, the exception. I'm not familiar with any other area that we've required training in health care that doesn't provide for this. Now this is for information sharing, not for actual health technique or procedure, so maybe that's why there's not a problem here. And so I'm going to live with it. I think it's probably fine if it's been in the statute but all I'm doing is cautioning you that there is a vagueness here. What...what does constitute training? Typically, our statutes, you could find a minimum number of hours. We have four hours for child care providers every year they have to have. You've got to have for nurses aides 20 hours, I believe it is. So this is an exception and I would raise that cautionary note and if there's a way to resolve it, if there is a problem, fine. But if there's been no problem, I assume there isn't any concern there. And I think generally the idea of having...