

given some of this information. It actually goes backwards from what we're trying to get. There is no enforcement mechanism. There is some hope that the woman could sue for malpractice, but that, I don't think, is even clear in the amendment. But going further on I think there's a variety of problems with the amendment. If we look constitutionally, I have huge problems with it. It's vague from a constitutional perspective. Problem, for example, probable gestational age as defined in LB 110 as it stands now, it is not defined but the term is used in the Bohlke amendment. There are...it takes out one of the things that we negotiated for at General File, was to get some definitions that we thought we could rely on prior case law, U.S. Supreme Court decisions, on constitutionality of definitions. It takes those out and returns to definitions that we're not sure of simply because they have not been challenged on point. For example emergency situation, for example abortion, those terms as defined in the bill now have prior case law that has considered them specifically, specifically considered those definitions and found them to be constitutional. Under the Bohlke amendment, those...that is not the case any longer. Those definitions may be constitutionally suspect. They are currently in Nebraska law and so it doesn't seem like we're doing anything major, but what in fact we are doing is getting back to definitions which simply have not been challenged. They have not been scrutinized. We don't know if they are constitutional. One of the things that we...when we came backwards a little bit as far as partially in just trying to reach a...a solution or a solution to a problem that we see existing, we negotiated that, but part of the things that we wanted was definitions, or one of the things that we wanted were definitions that would withstand constitutional scrutiny. If we look at the information that's being given in the bill, I note that...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ..I note at the outset one of the first things that I noticed, the information that is...no longer would be required to be given to the information (sic) the name of the physician performing the abortion. Won't even give them the doc's name. How much more basic an information can we get? And don't think of this as going down to your family doctor because that's not the way these...the clinics operate. There, since we talked last time here on the floor about this, there's been an article in the paper. These clinics, at least in Omaha, one of