

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: ...the state language?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: To the federal language. Because I don't know...we don't have before us a game of any kind that is being considered. We're just talking about setting up the parameters within which negotiating would occur.

SENATOR HALL: For purposes of licensure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR HALL: And, and that's my problem, Senator Chambers, in that this one section of 2710, subsection 4, talks about how the licensing, tribal licensing requirements have to be at least restricted as those established by state law governing similar gaming within the jurisdiction of the state. I guess that is broad enough to incorporate any other section rather than just the section dealing with the, the...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...compact that would be in LB 231. So, I got to take a closer look at it, but that was my only question. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Before we proceed I would like to call the senators' attention to the area under the south balcony. A special guest today of Senator Crosby is Mark Leeper. Would you please rise and be recognized. Welcome to the Chamber. Thank you. Senator Hohenstein.

SENATOR HOHENSTEIN: Just very briefly. I, I think what Senator Chambers is attempting to do is to take out the specifically offensive language and insert a more generally offensive language. And if that is...I'm not sure I agree with that, except we've done it. So at least you put back in the restrictions of the federal act. So I would support the amendment. I just want to respond briefly to Senator Beutler's concerns. The reason, Senator Beutler, that you cannot treat the Indian tribes the same way you treat a compact of a...or a contract of an individual contractor in Hastings is because they're not the same. Because you are dealing with a sovereign tribe and there are different rules of the game when you're