

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Will, will the Governor, no matter how...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...how he chooses to negotiate, be bound by the federal law?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, it has to be done fairly, and that's what's in this language: fairly and honestly. And I think that's very important that it's in there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that required by federal law?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: It's in federal law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if, if it's not in the state law, that is binding on the Governor anyway in his negotiations, isn't it?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: It would be.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then if we take it out we haven't lost anything, have we...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: No, but it's...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because the federal law is still there.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: It is, you're right, but it's nice to have it in state law also.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I don't think it's not. Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. I don't think it's nice. I think a lot of that language is insulting and demeaning and I'm going to try to get it out. And since we don't need the bill anyway because the federal law does it, maybe Senator Schellpeper and I can reach an accord and take that out. Then the rest of his bill that he needs can roll right along like the church.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. I'll try to be brief because I think others have rather fully explicated the issues here, although it has gotten to be a bit confusing