

that he had not attacked this bill at all. I would prefer that we could have voted on some of these things. It's just that maybe it's time for us to look at what he does here and each of us to admit that it happens and not to placate him so that he doesn't turn on your bills, and not to joke with him and think he is...

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...he's just having fun with us, and not to...not to realize that all he is doing is making this Legislature look like we can't get these things done, when I know this is what they want to get done, and we've got to quit allowing this to happen. And maybe since you've been here longer, you can look at the rules in the interim and see what can be done to stop this from happening, because, as you can see, it does need to be looked at. I would support the Bromm amendment. I would ask each and everyone of you to support the Bromm amendment, lay this over till next year, see if we can't get something done in the interim and we'll talk about this again next year. And I hope you will read the letter in front of you from all these people and all these different associations asking you to do the same thing, to support this bracket amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Witek. Senator Abboud. Senator Robinson. That won't be necessary. Yours is the last light on. Senator Bromm, would you like to close on your amendment? Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It would be...it would be, I think, refreshing if we could simply go through the voting process here. I've been advised to withdraw my amendment and to allow...to make sure that we have an opportunity to get the study that Senator Lindsay would propose. And I don't have a problem with the study, I would favor the study, but I guess after considering everything and all the discussion that's occurred here, I truly feel that my amendment is appropriate. I do feel that there are certain aspects of the legislation that do need study. I think we've got some good things in the bill, but I don't think that it's quite in the shape that we need to have it, especially in the community corrections area. There was a Supreme Court case, I believe last week, on asset