

to happen.

SENATOR PIRSCH: The only problem being, we don't know what is going to be meshed into the accountability and disclosure act at this point. If indeed the committee has significant changes such as the funding, for instance, that may change how that whole thing holds together or we will make changes in the campaign...well who knows what changes are going to be made or going to be looked at along with the funding? I guess in my looking at this, the significant changes to the accountability and disclosure act are none. And if you could point that out to me, I would like to know that.

SPEAKER BAACK: Well, Senator Pirsch, I don't daily work with the accountability disclosure law so I can't tell you exactly how these all have to mesh, but in discussing with Dannie Trautwein, who does work with this on a daily basis, she says these changes are needed so that they were able to put into place...all it basically does is talks about reporting dates. It talks about different time frames when people have to report. Those are the basic things that are in there.

SENATOR PIRSCH: That's in Section 12 in 49-1459. Well, members of the Legislature, what the members of the committee have put forward in this motion is simply to put it back to the way that we reported it out of the committee without any new language, without any amendments thereto and keeping in the date of the original delayed date of January 1995. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. You heard the opening on Senator Pirsch's amendment. Senator Abboud, on the Pirsch amendment. Senator Abboud waives. Senator Vrtiska, on the Pirsch amendment. He waives off. Senator Baack, on the Pirsch amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, Mr. Chairman and colleagues, I am going to oppose the Pirsch amendment. I, first of all, I don't think we necessarily have to say that however a bill comes out of committee, it has to work its way through the Legislature that way. I don't think we necessarily have that rule in here that whatever way a bill comes out of committee it has to work its way through and we're not allowed to change that because that is not the case. I think that, and when I came before the committee I was very honest with them saying, look, all I really want done is the delay of the implementation and after we got