

was all relatively silly because we would simply have nothing to put in anyone's campaign fund if there was a violation of the limit set forth the bill last session. Now we have the bill before us again with some technical changes but for the most part it moves back the operative date to be the next election. This is the time I think to look at you know the major portion of the bill which is, in fact, the main crux of the amendment which is the funding of the bill. Senator Bromm I would think would want to support the overriding of the Chair in this particular portion because anybody who wants us to be up front with campaign financing would be one of the first people to say if we're going to do it, we're need to have the money so that we can do it. Otherwise it would be a sham. An example of this, if this particular bill would have been in law in 1990 it could have cost about \$460,000. We need to be up front. If we're going to do these things, let's have the funding. Senator Beutler and Senator Baack have offered an amendment that provides the funding for it. We may disagree on where the funding may come from, but that's a decision we can make on an up or down vote on the amendment. But I think as far as the germaneness of the amendment, simply we're talking about monies that would be generated in order to enact so we could do LB 587 when the enacting date of that bill was. I think it's a legitimate question. I urge the Chair be overridden and that we at least get an up or down vote on the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Vrtiska, on the motion to overrule the Chair. I would remind...he waives, I would remind members you are only entitled one time to speak on this motion. Senator Lynch, on the motion to overrule the Chair, he waives. Senator Pirsch, on the motion to overrule the Chair.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I guess I'll use it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I guess I would urge that you not overrule the Chair and I guess I say that not because we should not be discussing the financing, but, Senator Bernard-Stevens, you said we need to be up front. We needed to be up front back in committee and introduce those kinds of things so the committee could discuss them. How can we discuss and make those kinds of overwhelming decisions right here on Select File for this kind of major decision? I can understand, maybe this is a good thing to discuss. We certainly can discuss it in the interim study over the summer and definitely the committee will do that but this does not belong here on Select File.