

state auditor spent 540 some thousand dollars to get elected. If he would choose to do that in the next, and his opponent spent about \$19,000. Should he choose to do that, we'd have to make up that difference between that, if he would choose to do that, which I think at that point there's some incentive there, because I think you could certainly make a campaign issue out of that, if you were running against someone who is going to run that up like that and use...and make the use of the public funds be used in a campaign race. So I think it would make it a campaign issue. But it also added significantly to the fiscal note for the bill, because if you're going to have somebody that's going to do that and simply defy the limits, you're going to run up a tremendous bill and we're going to have a lot of money to pay out on the public side. So that's why I wanted to delay it for two years. I think it gives the...the...I know that the Government Committee has introduced a study resolution, I cosigned that resolution. And that...that study resolution will be one that we study this whole issue over the next...over the next interim. And next session we'll come back in and try to make the adjustments necessary to make this work. One of the reasons we needed the provisions of the bill, and...and I don't...and I...I don't apologize for putting those back in, but I...it really wasn't an end run. Most of the...the things that we put into that bill were simply bookkeeping kinds of things for the Accountability and Disclosure Commission, for...not only for campaign finance reform, but the way that they do their forms, so that they can have all the forms ready for the next time. They will also be able to gather information when they get these forms ready and we talk about an election cycle being in an election year, where we have to report on a yearly basis. It will make it much easier for us to look at the numbers and tell how much this might cost us if...if it goes into place. I don't think it's going to cost as much as people imagine, because I think there's going to be some good incentives built into the bill to keep people from spending over the limitations. And that's another thing that I hope the committee looks at over the interim. There are some states that are building in some...some other incentives to keep people from spending over the limitations. And I think that that's good. The more incentives that we can build in, the better off we are in...in the long-run. So I'm going to oppose this. I don't think we have the money to do it right now. I don't...I think that we need to have money in place and stuff if we're going to have this, and we don't have that in place right now. I think it's best for us to delay it for two years. Let the committee do