

May 14, 1993

LB 220

the amendment for that simple reason. I think the first amendment was a good amendment, well thought out, did not give the counties the kind of authority that should raise this kind of concern and although I appreciate the argument, I disagree with it. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hohenstein. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Would Senator Landis yield to a question, please?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR MOORE: You mentioned on the natural disaster some people could...some people had exemption to natural disaster, some didn't. Who did and who didn't?

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Hohenstein was talking that there were mechanisms by which origin, there were multiple mechanisms by which we were controlling lids in the bill originally. One of them mentioned the natural disaster exemption and one of them did not. The one that is left in the bill did not mention natural disaster relief and so this recognizes it there.

SENATOR MOORE: I guess I was under the impression, like you said, that some subdivisions could go beyond the lid for natural disasters and some couldn't, different types. Is that...I just misunderstood you?

SENATOR LANDIS: Well, what they are, you can do this lid in a different way. One was the budget expenditure way that Senator Hohenstein thought was a fair thing and would have like to have seen that happen. That was for the counties. And one of them is a property tax lid, if you will. The natural...and although it was not by subdivision, if you used one mechanism, there was a natural disaster exemption; if you used the other mechanism, there was not. What's left here when we get done with this is the property tax mechanism and it will recognize the natural disaster exemption as it will recognize the ADA, the American with Disabilities Act exemption as well.

SENATOR MOORE: Yeah, so the answer...