

that would require \$200,000?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Frankly, I am not sure to that extent what it...the reason for doing that was that that 1 cent was producing a little over a million dollars, so 20 percent was around 200,000. The idea was to not increase what is going to the cancer registry by allowing 20 percent of the additional 2 cents. So I would...

SENATOR PIRSCH: You really haven't looked at it.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yeah, and I didn't want to get into a dispute with the cancer registry.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, okay. Perhaps I will ask Senator Warner then. Senator Warner, would...

SENATOR HALL: Senator Warner, if you would respond?

SENATOR PIRSCH: I know, Senator Warner, that there were certain costs, initial costs initiated when the registry was begun. Are those ongoing 200,000 dollar costs?

SENATOR WARNER: This covers ongoing costs. I honestly would have to do some review, Senator Pirsch, because it doesn't come to my mind exactly, but I know this is the maintenance of that registry, which is exceedingly important from a research standpoint to know the history of cancer-related deaths throughout the state, and I, honestly, I am like John, I don't recall. I'd have to get to you specifically.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, I thought perhaps the committee had looked at the cancer registry to see if, indeed, that amount of money was necessary. Thank you. I shall pursue that then.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Day, your light is next.

SENATOR DAY: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members. Two years ago I probably would have fought this because I was a heavy smoker at that time, but then I made the trip to the doctor, and I was diagnosed with cancer. Senator Robinson asked about some of the research, and I want to tell you the research that is going on out there is wonderful. I was able to go through six months of heavy chemotherapy treatment and radiation, and I never missed a