

So with that I would ask for your support for the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Baack. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, Senators Hillman, Moore and Baack would move to amend AM0807. (Amendment appears on page 1909 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Hillman, on your amendment.

SENATOR HILLMAN: Yes, in the discussion that was just preceding where Senator Baack explained what it is that Western College would like to do. We do have an amendment to that and what I'd like to do is just to explain what it would do. It clarifies, number one, that the facilities authorized to be financed, in other words those particular buildings are an expansion that might need to be made to house applied technology, vocational education programs only. When we're talking about the relocation of the technical program, anything, any facilities that Western would be looking at under this issue would have to address vocational educational programs only. The second part of this amendment is if you looked at the total number of dollars was 7.5 million, it would be reduced to 4.5 million. In the study that came out, in the figures that was showed to be needed 4.5 million was the one that was designated as the amount that would be needed and so that has been reduced from 7.5 million to 4.5 million. The third change that would be made in the amendment is that it eliminates the provision that right now there is wording that says it would allow the state appropriations to support repayment of bonds to be issued. It strikes that. It in no way obligates the state to have any type of support or any revenue that would go for the repayment of the bonds to be issued. So with that amendment to Senator Baack's amendment I would be glad to answer any questions before we go any further.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Hillman. For discussion on the amendment, Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Madam Chairma and colleagues, I rise in support of the amendment. There were a couple of things in there I think that did need clarifying and I think this does clarify those. This does make it very, very specific that the state assumes no obligation for these and that's the way we want