

these examples going back to the...it looks as, without knowing all the facts, it looked like most of this was still human error. Why do you stick your hand in a grinder with paddles in it? Why is that the manufacturer's fault? That's my point. How can that possibly be the manufacturer's fault if you stick your hand where it's not supposed to be? There is no way to design most of the machines that I know, that I have and deal with that are totally protect yourself from those. You put your foot in an augur, it's going to get caught. You get close to a power take-off with loose clothing, it's going to get caught. It's an absolute fact of life. And then we go to jury trials to try to prove that it was a manufacturer's fault for using that. I just can't quite understand how far we can go. Perhaps ten years is arbitrary, perhaps it should be eight, perhaps it should be twelve. I understand that dilemma as to where to make the cut-off, but I can't understand how you can design a machine which is the implication I hear on the floor today in the debate that a machine is going to be safe forever. I agree with Senator Kristensen that the technology we take for granted today was not here ten or fifteen years ago when many of the machines that we're using, particularly in agriculture, were designed. Now I am not familiar with manufacturers and processors and all the machinery used...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...in day to day industry across the state, but there's got to be similar concerns where more people are using that machinery. I understand the safety. I don't think anyone is more safety conscious perhaps than I, but still in all, I don't know how we can design every law that we pass in here simply to protect ourselves from us and then blame the manufacturer when something goes wrong, and as I read those cases many of these look like that's what it is. Perhaps these cases here aren't meant to make the case that Senator Will wants to make, but many of them simply look like the human error was a factor, they should have known it was a dangerous machine and to go back 12 or 15 years now perhaps as this will allow and blame that machine, I just cannot accept so I oppose this amendment even though I think it's a good faith try to try. I like some of the aspects of it, Senator Avery and Will, that you tried to put in this amendment but at this point...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.