

April 29, 1993 LB 669

SENATOR ROBINSON: Six years.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So it was our feeling, and certainly those of the agency heads that we talked to, that they felt they would be able to reorganize and do what they needed to do to comply with that time, with that much lead time, they would be able to do so. But there certainly is not any big push right now for them to make it go through any drastic change.

SENATOR ROBINSON: But over a six-year period, this would be a \$1,222,614 increase in the budget?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: No, no, they will be able to do that within the agency portion of it, and that the cash funds are going to be unified at that point, and that should be, for the most part, all cash funded.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now these people work for DPI now, though, don't they? Are they cash funded now? I don't know, that's why I put my question, I guess. They work for DPI now, are they cash funded now?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator, let me just take a minute to look at it and I will get back to you on that.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I...everybody was talking so long, I started to look at the bill, and I was looking at the same thing that I guess Senator Robinson is because I was thumbing through the fiscal note. Maybe Senator Wesely, I notice it indicates there is 300 individuals who would seek a licensing, do we have any idea how many of those would be state employees?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I don't recall that we had any figures on how many of those individuals would be state employees, not from the hearing, at least. We could try and find that out.

SENATOR WARNER: Okay, I only raised the question because I was