

this amendment say they'd rather have this amendment than the dual side phase in. What they're asking for is for their side to phase in, the operators' side to phase in, but not the excavators. The excavators, under terms of their definition of phase in, would still be responsible for somehow locating, finding out where the facilities are located without any mandatory responsibility on the other side, so...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...you know, a phase in that would phase in the liability and the responsibility for notification by the excavators to those that do not have...do not belong would be acceptable, but that's not what they're talking about. So the idea of phase in, probably it won't work, in my opinion, unless they're willing to support another Withem amendment that is filed up there at a later time, so...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...those are some responses I have to some of the things that have been brought up in reference to this amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President, members of the body, I have a couple of questions. Is Senator Kristensen around? He vacated.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR ROBINSON: We are going to fund this by charging the contractors, am I right? Could you tell me how this is going to be funded?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: The system is funded by charging of the phone calls to the, basically, that when you make a phone call, the people who have the facilities are charged for the phone call. The excavator, it's a free charge.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay.