

there will be an afterthought or an additional tort-feasor. If they're the ones that caused the injury or damage, you're going to do that right away, you're going to go and file against them because they're the primary one that caused the injuries or damages and this will never really ever have any impact on that. But if you're going to put them in as an afterthought then this additional statute of limitations just opens up the window and it becomes increasingly harder for these people to manage their risk and take care of themselves. And, with that, I think, Senator Lindsay, originally the bill dealt with the issue of jury trials. That's now out of the bill. I think that the statute of limits, obviously, was an afterthought because it's been placed in here at least on the state one as part of the committee amendments. Don't know that there was a public hearing. I know there's a public hearing on the bill but in terms of was that the focus of the issue? I seriously doubt it. It appears that the statute of limitations is an afterthought and would really rise to oppose LB 262. I just think that it's one of those bills that it's sort of the ebb and flow. Last year we dealt with immunities for political subdivisions. This year it's the other side trying to gain back some of that ground that they felt they lost and this is not good policy. I think we ought to...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...let the system work as we have laid it out in the last actually four years through Senator Ashford. Senator Lindsay has been involved in that. Senator Will has been involved in those sorts of things and I think we ought to let the law work as it will, and if there's additional problems then take a look at it. I just don't think there's a compelling need for 262. We change the lay of the land in terms of tort claims as we know them and I don't think that's good policy at this point in time and I would rise to oppose LB 262.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the body, my concern is in regard to Section 3 which evidently was not struck by the committee amendments and which strikes a whole lot of sections and I was curious as to what they are and curious as to why, I guess, the committee amendments didn't deal with them. They are striking sections such as 905, which deals with tort claims shall be filed with the clerk, secretary or other