

amendment? If we know, it will be given to the judge who will then...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...make the review to determine their validity or if additional..

SENATOR BROMM: That would be fine. That will already...we've already adpoted that so I was simply going to refer back to that section where the procedure is set forth in detail but we don't know what number to call that section until it's put together.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, see, that is why I would like us to put the words that are in that section.

SENATOR BROMM: You want to repeat those words?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, because we are giving an instruction to the mediator as to what information must be given. Like with the Miranda rights, there is a listing of the rights that must be read to the accused person prior to interrogation. So we would not want the mediator to have to do any research or be in doubt as to what precisely is being required to be told, and what will happen. And if we put it in this instruction, we won't have the mediator trying to interpret or construe a statute that is separate from the section that is going to deal with the instructions...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...or the warning they must give.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm, you are next.

SENATOR BROMM: I don't have any problem with what Senator Chambers wants to do. He wants to, more or less, repeat the language of the Hohenstein amendment, so that the mediator knows exactly what he is supposed to tell these people, and I certainly have no problem with that. I think it is a matter of trying to get this done in such a form that we all understand it and draft it. Senator Ashford, I think, is going to work on it a little bit. Senator Chambers, could I ask you a question?