

information were presented to the judge, I presume the judge could make a determination as to whether it would be necessary to conduct a full-blown...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...hearing to determine the validity of the information, whether or not additional action would have to be taken, but before getting to that, I'd like to ask you a question. If in the process of this hearing by the judge, the judge could not level a charge. It would still be necessary for the prosecuting attorney to actually file a charge, a criminal charge?

SENATOR ASHFORD: That's, I think that's correct, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So maybe what I'm talking about doesn't really accomplish anything.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Well, I think it does. Well, I've got...my light is on.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, and my time is almost up so I will waive off at this point.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm, you are next.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would have supported the Chambers amendment except that I think we've got something maybe more suitable and I will waive off speaking until this other amendment is on file.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Pedersen. I do not see Senator Pedersen. Senator Ashford, did you wish to speak.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yeah, well, very briefly, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to what Senator Chambers has proposed, and he really is very good at this. After seven years, I am really amazed at how he picks this stuff up. Anyway, I think the middle ground that he is proposing is all right. What we are trying to prevent, Senator Chambers, may I ask you a question just very briefly.