

not solved by Senator Will's amendment and it is irrelevant to Senator Will's amendment, but the point that you raise is a fair one.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I'm showing that there are questions and issues which when debate is cut off even if people don't like the amendment, this goes to the issue being addressed by his amendment. How can...

SENATOR LANDIS: We have 18 amendments on this section alone, Senator Chambers, you will have lots of opportunity to talk either here or Select File on the IME in perpetuity, practically.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Landis. Oh, let me...Senator Landis, let me ask you another question. And this, again, goes to the nature of the IME. Right now, it would have...the person would have to come from the list even if the two agree. Now, if the two agree, the two sides, they still could not choose, if they desired, to select a doctor who is currently treating the injured employee, could they?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose the...let's say the employee has more than one doctor so that, you know, we have a possibility from my example. Why should that be denied to these two? And here's really the question I'm asking. If we've got a point where they've come together, why should anything be prohibited in statute for them to try to do? That's really what I'm asking.

SENATOR LANDIS: Sure. And let me...let me answer the question twofold. First, that question applies to the whole general section of the IME which is ahead of us in debate and I look forward to a chance to talk about that when I get a chance. Secondly, you raise a philosophical point that is legitimate, that is to say if two parties of equal bargaining strength make an agreement, shouldn't we just accept what that agreement is? And I would entertain some language along that line to think about it. You can't get there from here. We've got the Will amendment ahead of us.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I...and, see, I'm concerned about what the Will amendment deals with even before we get to Beutler, but you're not saying then by your objection to the Will amendment