not by the parties involved. The problem on both of those scales though comes to...comes down to what is the genesis? Where are the individuals that are doing the treatment, that are making these evaluations coming from? And in both instances, in the managed care instance and in the instance of the independent medical examiner, my fear is that because of who is ultimately selecting the individuals that are involved that there will be an inherent bias that casts grave doubt in my mind as to how good a bill LB 757 ultimately is, because on the managed care end of the spectrum we basically have, if an employee does not have his or her own doctor of record, then they are channeled into a managed care system that is a hundred percent the choice of the employer and at that point I have doubts as to whether the employee will get a fair evaluation, will get all the treatment that he or she has coming to them. In the independent medical examiner case, you have a panel of examiners that are being chosen by the Workers' Compensation Court that essentially are there as creatures of the court. I think that the Beutler amendment that Senator Landis has discussed, I'm not...I'm not sure what impact it would have when I read the section as it stands now that the Workers' Compensation Court is developing and implementing the IME system simply requiring a majority of the court. It seems to me, at least on my initial reading of the Landis amendment, to be implied in the amendment already. Frankly, I don't think it addresses the issue that I have a concern about and that is getting away from the court developing independent medical examiners, rendering an opinion about something that's directly under them. I think to remove it from the process, make it a truly independent medical examiner you have to introduce an element that has nothing to do with employers, employees or the court directly involved that, in fact, is a product of the administration that comes from the Governor. Certainly, you want to have people that are knowledgeable in the area which is what my amendment does, having people from the medical profession, people from labor and people from business, all of whom presumably would have some experience in the area of workers' comp. However, it totally separates that from the court. They would have...the court would develop the list and then this administrative panel would have final approval over the list as a check against any inherent bias that the court may have. Senator Landis addressed the fact that he fears that depending...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.