

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, again, as the introducer of the legisla...or of the resolution, I certainly am not going to vote to support the Chambers amendment. But Senator Chambers is right in at least one respect and that is that if the will of the body is to strike this, it won't reduce in any significant way the message of the resolution to those that are going to be on the receiving end. Beyond that, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to indicate that the Government Committee did hold a hearing on this resolution and it was a good hearing and the committee, as I recall, advanced the resolution unanimously. I guess that I...I don't see...I don't read this exactly the same way as Senator Chambers, but I'm wondering, Senator Chambers, if there isn't a half-way point on this that if you had language to the effect that a normalization would occur in concert with a satisfactory resolution of these issues, if that would...I mean, that's what you kind...that's what you have indicated, I think, when you've been at the microphone that you don't want it dependent upon that but if it could...if it could be one of the issues that's discussed during the normalization process. Or am I...am I not hitting what you mean exactly? Senator Chambers, would you yield to a question?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I guess, what I'm asking you, is there language here that would not make the normalization process entirely dependent upon whether that information is forthcoming or not, but if it were determined, for instance, during the normalization process that the information is not available, for instance, or that there are good reasons that we should pursue the normalization in hopes that we'll get the information at some time in the future, is there some way of wording this that would be kind of a half-way?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Because I think it's important to have this issue on the table during normalization.