

to stop drinking and driving while they're sitting in county jail for seven or 30 or 90 days. All we do in that case is isolate them for that period of time. We know society is protected for that short period of time. I'd prefer to see them quit drinking and driving on forever rather than quit drinking and driving because they don't have access to a car while they're behind bars. We have to look at some better ways of approaching the problem. That's what this is intended to do and I guess one final point, here we are this comes up at Select File, at Select File on April 21 and now we're bracketing because we haven't had time to take a look at our concerns, but if we look to the committee, nobody opposed it in committee, nobody opposed it in committee. Nobody appeared. It had a public notice, had a late hearing date. It's not like this was snuck in there. Had a late hearing date. Nobody came in and said this is a bad thing, this is bad policy. It came out of committee without dissent because there weren't any objections raised and now we come in here at this state and say this is a terrible thing, this is going to destroy everything we've ever been trying to build in the area of DWI. It's just plain wrong. It's not the way things ought to be done here. If we have concerns, it was on consent calendar and could have been pulled by any three people, but that wasn't done. At some point, we have to raise the arguments when the arguments ought to be raised and, as I mentioned, Senator Kristensen's argument may very well be legitimate but rather than killing the bill because there is a problem with some of the language when the stated intent is the same as trying to get the stated intent that Senator Kristensen says we ought to have, let's change the bill to make that expressly clear. I don't have a problem with that and I'll sit down and start writing an amendment. Well, let's not kill the bill, let's not put it off until June because there is a minor problem that can be corrected with it. I urge you to defeat the bracket motion and let's continue on with debate.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. I do support further study on this legislation before we would advance it. I was asking the Clerk if I could amend the bracket motion to a date that would be a little sooner than that, a little, perhaps a little fairer to Senator Lindsay, but while I've got the microphone I do have a couple of questions of Senator Lindsay if he would yield, please.