

those revenues, those matching federal grants, but yet some of those haven't been proposed. Why? Because they cost money. They may be effective, but they cost money or we don't want to do those. To bracket this bill is ridiculous. Let's vote it up or down, but to bracket it to June 1 is an insincere approach at best.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time. Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President and members. Had the bracket motion said bracket it for a week or bracket it for 10 days, I'd say, yeah, Senator Kristensen, I believe you're trying to improve the bill but when you bracket it till June 1, I think we know what that is doing. And when he said it maybe should have been an IPP, I think it basically is. If there's issues on it, let's work on those issues now. That's what we're here on the floor for, that is what debate is about is trying to bring out problems with the bill.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Kristensen may very well have a legitimate point. If so, let's correct it. But if we oppose the policy, let's come right out and say we oppose the policy. And if we're not interested in educating drunk drivers, if we're not interested in rehabilitating drunk drivers, if we're not interested in trying legitimate ways of trying to keep drunks off the road, then let's say that. This is a bracket to June 1. I think we all know what that kind of a motion does; it means it's done this year, it means it's done this year. At that point, we've got what, two or three legislative days left? And I just don't think that's the purpose of the motion. If Senator Kristensen does really want to try to repair the bill, I'd suggest he withdraw the bracket and put up a bracket for a time that still allows the bill to pass rather than trying to get it to a point where it can't pass because it's been bracketed too long. But I tend to concur with Senator Hall. If we don't like the policy, let's vote on it. In the meantime, I intend to put up an amendment which will try to cure what Senator Kristensen is talking about and once that concern is cured, are we then going to have further concerns? Have we stated what the concerns are or is it just a general opposition because there's some folks in the lobby who are saying this is not a good thing, this is not part of our agenda. I think we've got to work towards rehabilitation. We've got to work towards getting people to stop drinking and driving rather than getting people