

Senator Wesely, I appreciate it. It's a question that I probably should have asked you off the record so that you had time to take a look at it, but my concern is is that we're, if I understand you correctly, we are taking in...we are saying that these oral analgesics, including oral analgesics enumerated in Schedules III and IV are going to be allowed to be given by optometrists, which, you know, we don't know what's on those schedules at present.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: We just...we can go look it up, it should be listed in Section 28-405, and if they are already handled at the federal level and all we do is adopt them in toto, or maybe we adopt some of them, maybe we don't them all, I am not quite sure, but we will go look at what Section 28-405 allows for. I...my concern here with this whole issue, and I am not, as you can probably tell by the questions, all that supportive of LB 429, although I don't think it is near the turf fight that people talk about. I don't consider it a turf fight. I consider it a bank account fight, so to speak. It's an issue of whose bank account goes up and whose goes down in proportion to whether or not you allow to bill, whether it be the state, whether it be individual insurance companies, or whether it be individuals, for whatever services that you provide. This is exactly the kind of thing that we look to and we try to argue that it's going to be a cost savings to the whole health care delivery system.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Mr. Speaker, members, would Senator Moore yield to a question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: Senator Moore, I am still on my search to try to figure out what your optometry bill is about, and in particular what optometrists are, so I went back to the