

end, merely because of a technical interpretation of the law by the Department of Water Resources saying that since transfers aren't addressed we can't even give you a hearing whether you should even do it. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Call the question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Debate has ceased. I will go to Senator Bernard-Stevens to close on your amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I guess what I'd like to do at this point is...is ask for a call of the house. I will go ahead and do my opening (sic) while people are coming, but I'm going to ask for one anyway, it might save us some time.

SPEAKER BAACK: A call of the house has been requested. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 14 ayes, 0 nays to go under call.

SPEAKER BAACK: The house is under call. All members, please report to the Chamber and record your presence. The house is under call. Senator Bernard-Stevens, you may continue.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. There's some confusion whether I'm opening or closing, on what circumstance. I'll go ahead and close at this point. I appreciate the discussion. The amendment that we had before us is very simple. It simply defines commercial to include commercial and private golf courses, which it was the interpretation of the Department of Water Resources that it did not apply. When the department ruled in that manner they then asked for a permit, they got a study done getting ready for the permit showing that there would be no adverse effect, but a tremendous economic effect, a positive effect. But then they were told they couldn't have a hearing because the ground water laws of transfer were not solid