

than just to have signatures to come in and say that these individuals support it, and these oppose it, let them go to the County Extension Office. Let them vote yes or no. I think it is a very simple process. It isn't something that is going to cost a lot of money, and if they vote yes, then they would be made part of it. So I think the amendment is a good compromise. As I said before, it is something Senator Jones agreed to that he would accept. When we explained to him how it was going to be proposed, he said he would accept it. So I would be glad to answer any questions about this amendment, but I think it's an amendment that will get the Legislature...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: ...put the decision actually back where it should be, and that is in with Howard County at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Upon looking at the amendment as is printed in the Journal, it looks like the language, in order to allow any county that is adjacent to the brand inspection area to, by a vote of the cattle producers in the county, it would not be adequate language in there. And I do have a lot of concern, so instead of offering the amendment to the amendment, or an amendment to Senator Schellpeper's amendment, I am going to just stand and oppose the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, can I ask Senator Schellpeper a question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Schellpeper, would you respond, please.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, I would be glad to.

SENATOR HARTNETT: What's the cost and who will pay for the election?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: According to this amendment, it will be