

**SENATOR HABERMAN:** Senator Withem, the first fiscal note is from fiscal and it says 1,000 bucks. That made me feel good. Then a couple of days ago fiscal walked up to me and said, you've got to have an A bill. I said, I don't want an A bill. Well, you got to have an A bill. And I said, let them take the money out of their pot they got over there. We can't do that, you have to have an A bill. How much is the A bill? Seven thousand dollars. So I said to myself, what's \$7,000 compared to the money we're spending? So I put the A bill in. I will get the answers for you on Select File, Senator Withem. I'm glad you brought them up as to why it jumped from 1,000 to 7,000, because that disturbs me also. I...as far as I'm concerned the Liquor Control Commission has the money hidden over there somewhere, under the desk, or in the drawer, somewhere, they can fund the 1,000 or \$7,000, I'm convinced of that, but evidently fiscal isn't. So I will have the answer for you on Select File. Thank you for bringing it up, Senator Withem.

**SPEAKER BAACK:** Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Schellpeper.

**SENATOR SCHELLPEPER:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I was going to stay out of this bill because I thought I'd probably badgered Senator Haberman enough on the original bill. I think the...the extra money is probably needed because we moved away from the black light, which was in the original bill, and now you're using a certificate. And I think it's for that implementation of that certificate on the keg, and trying to figure out how they're going to come up with a certificate that will actually stick to a beer keg. And it may cost more than that because I don't think there's anything out there currently that will stick to a beer keg. But this is what I think the extra money is going to be used for. Thank you.

**SPEAKER BAACK:** Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Any other discussion on LB 332A? Senator Haberman, would you like to close?

**SENATOR HABERMAN:** Yes, Mr. President. Senator Withem, the original \$1,000 was based on the original bill, which called for a black light. The retailer was to purchase a black light, purchase the pens, do all the work, pay all the bills, and it would cost the liquor commission \$1,000 to put all this into effect. When the amendment was adopted then it jumped to \$7,000 because the liquor commission has to have the printing done on