

we set an example for our young people, and yet on this issue I hear nothing about setting an example for the state. I hear nothing about that. Where's the consistency in that regard? When we're talking about depositions in DWI cases, you want full disclosure. You want depositions in every DWI case if people want them. But yet where's the fork in the road when it comes to...when it comes to disclosure and when it comes to fairness and when it comes to...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...accountability on this issue? There seems to be...there seems to be a change in the direction that you're taking. And I don't know if this is coming from pride. I don't know if it's coming from a dislike of the Auditor, mistrust of the Auditor, or what it is. But, as a body, I think it is absolutely essential that we take this opportunity, and it is an opportunity, it's not...it's not a problem, it's an opportunity for us to show not only Mr. Breslow but ourselves and the public that this body will not jeopardize our integrity and we will not tarnish our image or cast shadows on these Chambers over an insignificant issue like this. There is no one that can stand up here and convince the average taxpayer that our phone bills should not be subject to scrutiny. And there's no one that can say that the way the bill is drafted that there isn't protection for confidentiality because there is.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: And I urge the body to...I don't care what they do with this amendment, but I urge them to support the Landis amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bromm. I would like to recognize senators wishing to speak to the Chambers amendment. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABBOUD: Madam President, colleagues, I'm going to talk a little bit about the...a little bit about the Chambers amendment and also focus in on the person that's going to be doing the audits of the Lancaster County senators. And I guess I'm open to looking at this from the perspective of trying to take the personalities out of this particular debate. Personally, I think John Breslow is a very fine individual. And I think that he is doing what he feel is in the best interests